(3) (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition no. 972 of 2004

Petitioner : Dr. Shahid Ali, s/o Shri Hidayat Ali, Aged about 38 years, R/o D-4, G.G.D.U. Campus, Koni, Bilaspur (C.G.)

P. R. No. 935 Day
Presented by Shri Dheeraj Chaubey
dated 8.9.03

Versus

Respondents :

1) State of Chhattisgarh Through Principal Secretary, Higher Education, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (C.G.)

2) Commissioner, Higher Education, Mantralaya, Government of C.G. Science college premises, Raipur(C.G.)

3) Guru Ghasidas University, Through Registrar, Guru Ghasidas University, Koni , Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY 29/3/04 Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. **Particulars of the Petitioner :**

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(56)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 972 सन् 2004

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	28.3.2005 **Shri Sumit Verma, counselfor the petitioner.** **Shri Sandeep Dubey, Government Advocate for the State/respondents No. 1 & 2.** **Shri Ashish Shrivastava, counsel for respondent No.3.** **I.A. No. 6463 /2004 for urgent hearing is allowed.** **Heard on the question of admission.** **Learned counsel, appearing for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of advertisement, Annexure P- 2 dated 22.2.2002, the petitioner was called to appear in interview on 28.10.2002 at 11.00 am. He further submits that the interview was conducted. This was an interview for the post of Co-ordinator in the Institute of Distance Education in Guru Ghasidas University, Bilaspur (C.G.). A copy of call letter has been filed as Annexure P- 3. He further submits that on this day, interview was concluded, but the result of the interview was not declared. He also submits that the result has not been declared till today.**	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed various representations, but the University authorities are not giving any reply to the same. He makes a limited prayer that a direction may be given to the University authorities to declare the result of the petitioner.

In the opinion of this Court, the prayer seems to be genuine. The same is allowed. It is directed that if the result of the interview dated 28.10.2002 has not been declared so far, then the same shall be declared by the University and it shall be communicated to the petitioner. If the result has already been declared, then the same should also be communicated to the petitioner so that the matter may come to an end.

With the aforesaid directions, the petitioner stands finally disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

sunita

(पीछे देखिये)